

EVALUATION RUBRIC: MF DEFENSE EXAM

Candidate Name: _____

Date: _____

Title of Project: _____

Evaluation/Guidance	Does not meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exemplary Performance	Not Observed
1. Critical Thinking: Has demonstrated proficiency in the area of study.				
2. Project Definition: Has stated the goals of the professional project clearly, providing motivation for undertaking the project.				
3. Literature and Previous Work: Demonstrated sound knowledge of literature in the area, and of prior work on the specific problem.				
4. Impact of Proposed Project: Demonstrated the potential value of solution or application within the area of study.				
5. Solution Approach: Has applied sound state-of-the-field methods/tools to solve the defined problem and has described the methods/tools effectively.				
6. Quality of Written and Oral Communication: Communicates project results clearly and professionally in both (a) written and (b) oral form.	(a)			
	(b)			
7. Broader Impact: Demonstrated awareness of broader implications of the project. Broader implications may include social, economic, technical, ethical, business, etc. aspects.				
8. Publications: Journal or conference publications have resulted (or are anticipated) from this project.				
9. Ethics: Has received training in (a) responsible and ethical conduct of research OR (b) professional conduct through specific coursework, workshops, or mentoring.	YES/NO			

Overall Assessment: The assessment of the overall performance of the candidate based on the evidence provided in items 1-9 above.

CRITERIA	PERFORMANCE RATINGS		
	Does NOT PASS FINAL DEFENSE Exam	Passes Final Defense Exam	
OVERALL, My rating of the Examination	Does not meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exemplary Performance

Name of the Examining Committee Member: _____

Signature of the Examining Committee Member: _____